Abstract—In this paper, a novel speaker feature extraction with Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) is proposed. In this new method Perceptual Linear Prediction (PLP) and Linear Predictive Cepstral Coefficient (LPCC) features have extracted and Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) of speakers has built, then identification tests with clean and noisy TIMIT database have been carried out. With usage of TIMIT database, train and test samples of the speech ratio is 9 to 1. Implementation results with GMM have shown that GMM will model the structure of the vocal tract finely and minimize the distance between training and test feature vectors. Also experimental results show that LPCC feature coefficients will improve the results of speaker recognition rate. Thus in new proposed method with combination of PLP and LPCC features, the efficiency of the speaker recognition rate will increase 2.2% and speaker recognition efficiency will be 98.4%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The human speech carries different types of information. The primary type is the meaning of words, which speaker tries to pass to the listener. But the other types that are also included in the speech are information about language being spoken, speaker emotions, gender and identity of the speaker. The goal of automatic speaker recognition is to extract, characterize and recognize the information about speaker identity [1]. Speaker recognition is usually divided into two different branches, speaker verification and speaker identification. Speaker verification task is to verify the claimed identity of person from his voice [2], [3]. This process involves only binary decision about claimed identity. In speaker identification there is no identity claim and the system decides who the speaking person is [2].

The purpose of a speaker recognition system is identifying a set of sound samples of various sounds, which have the best matching with the characteristics of an unknown sample sound input [4]. Speaker recognition is a two-step process includes training and testing phases. In the training phase, speaker feature vectors \( \mathbf{X}_m \) related to \( M \) speakers (for anonymous speaker) extracted by extraction which these features will obtain periodically. Training and model of each speaker \( (\lambda_s) \) for each feature vector is made. Generally, in the speaker identification systems, Mel Frequency Coefficients Cepstral (MFCC) [5] is used as feature vectors with dimensions \( L \times 1 \) and a Gaussian mixture model [6] to model speakers. In the testing phase, the speaker feature vectors \( \mathbf{X}_m \) related to \( M \) speakers (for anonymous speaker) extracted by calculating the likelihood, according to (1), a decision took on the identity S, which has maximum likelihood in comparison with all \( S \) speakers models.

\[
\hat{s} = \arg \max_{1 \leq s \leq S} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \log p(\mathbf{X}_m^{test}|\lambda_s)
\]  

In evaluating a speaker identification, recognition accuracy obtained by dividing the number of the true identification tests to the total number of tests. For many years it has been shown that systems based on the GMM have significant success in the speaker recognition in large populations [4], [5].

The purpose of this article is extracting and combining features from speech signals to enhance speaker recognition rate using the Matlab simulation software. The main characteristics of the covariance matrices, the unique identity for each person who is registered in the system, will be extracted. Laboratory assessments have been carried out on TIMIT English database consist of 630 audio speakers which is recorded by a good quality microphone. System uses a large amount of input speech of all speakers for universal model in training phase and a model is created for each speaker. For the testing phase, some other speech utterances which are different from training set are used.

At continuation of paper, features and vector space will describe. Then Gaussian mixture model and expected maximization algorithm which is used in new proposed method will discuss and finally description of database, results and conclusion will present.

II. FEATURES AND VECTOR SPACE

A. Frame Blocking

In speaker recognition, the first step is feature extraction which these features will obtain periodically. The time duration of signal which is considered for processing is called window and the data acquired in the
window is named frame. Investigations show that speech signal characteristics will stay stationary in a sufficiently short period of time interval (quasi-stationary). For this reason, speech signals are processed in short time intervals. It is divided into frames with sizes generally between 20 and 30 milliseconds. Each frame overlaps its previous frame by a predefined size. The overlap is usually selected between 10 to 15 milliseconds. The goal of the overlapping scheme is to smooth the transition from frame to frame [7].

B. Windowing

The second step is to window all frames. This will carry out to eliminate discontinuities at the edges of the frames. If the windowing function is defined as \( w(n) \), \( 0 \leq n \leq N \) where \( N \) is the number of samples in each frame, the resulting signal will be \( y(n) = x(n)w(n) \). There are different types of windows which can be used:

- Rectangular window
- Bartlett window
- Hamming window.
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Figure 1. Hamming window.

The system uses hamming window as it introduces the least amount of distortion. Furthermore, the most widely used window is hamming window. Impulse response of the hamming window is a raised cosine impulse and is used window is hamming window. Impulse response of the hamming window is a raised cosine impulse and is given by

\[
W(n) = 0.54 - 0.46 \cos \frac{2\pi n}{N-1}, 0 \leq n \leq N
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

C. Linear Predictive Cepstral Coefficient (LPCC)

Linear Prediction is widely used in speech recognition and synthesis systems, as an efficient representation of a spectral envelope for speech signal. According to [8], it was first applied to speech analysis and synthesis by Atal and Schroeder Saito and Itakura [9].

There are two ways to compute the LP analysis, including autocorrelation and covariance methods. In this paper, LPC-related features are extracted using the autocorrelation method. Assume the nth sample of a given speech signal is predicted by the past M samples of the speech such as (3).

\[
\hat{x}(n) = a_1x(n-1) + a_2x(n-2) + \cdots + a_Mx(n-M) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i x(n-i)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

To minimize the sum squared error between actual and predicted present sample, the derivative of \( E \) with respect to \( a_i \) is set to zero which is shown in (4).

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N} x(n-k)x(n) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i x(n-i) = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

If there are M samples in the sequence indexed from 0 to \( M-1 \), (4) can be expressed in the matrix form as (5) and (6).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    r(0) & \cdots & r(M-1) \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    r(M-1) & \cdots & r(0)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    a_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    a_{M-2} \\
    1
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    r(1) \\
    \vdots \\
    r(M-2)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[
 r(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1-k} x(n)x(n+k)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

To solve the matrix (5) and (6), \( O(M^2) \) multiplications is required. However, the number of multiplications can be reduced to \( O(M) \) with the Levinson-Durbin algorithm which recursively compute the LPC coefficients. The recursive algorithm is described in (7).

Initial values:

\[
E_0 = r(0)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

With \( m \geq 1 \), the recursion formulas (8) to (12) are performed.

\[
q_m = r(m) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i r(m-i)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

\[
k_m = \frac{q_m}{E_{m-1}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[
a_{mm} = k_m
\]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[
a_{im} = a_{i(m-1)} - k_m a_{(m-1)(m-1)} \text{ for } i = 1,2, \ldots, m-1
\]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\[
E_m = E_{m-1}[1 - k_m^2]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where \( k_m \) is the reflection coefficient and the prediction error \( E_m \) decreases as \( m \) increases. Thus, LPC coefficients are generally transformed into other representations, including LPC reflection coefficients and LPC Cepstral coefficients. LPC Cepstral coefficients are important LPC-related features which are employed in speech recognition research commonly. They will compute directly from the LPC coefficients \( a_i \) with using the recursion formulas (13) to (15).

\[
c_0 = r(0) \text{ initial}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

\[
c_m = a_m + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{k}{m} c_k a_{m-k}, 1 < m < M
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

\[
c_m = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{k}{m} c_k a_{m-k}, m > M
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Based on the recursive formulas (13) to (15), an infinite number of Cepstral coefficients can be extracted from a finite number of LPC coefficients. However, typically the first 12-20 Cepstrum coefficients are employed depending on the sampling rate.

D. Perceptual Linear Prediction (PLP)

Perceptual Linear Prediction (PLP) was introduced by Hermansky [10] to work in the warping of the frequency and spectral magnitude, based on auditory perception.
tests, into pitch and loudness to be used mainly as a preprocessor for the Linear Prediction method. The goal of this model is to perceptually approximate the human hearing structure in the feature extraction process. In this technique, several hearing properties such as frequency banks, equal-loudness curve and intensity-loudness power law are simulated by mathematical approximations. The output spectrum of the speech signal is described by an all-pole autoregressive model. Fig. 2 shows the block diagram of the PLP method which was first introduced in [10].

\[ \Phi(\omega) = \Psi(\omega) \frac{1}{\Psi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

4) Intensity-Loudness power law
To approximate the power law of human hearing, which has a nonlinear relation between the intensity of sound and the perceived loudness, the emphasized \( \Psi(\omega) \) is compressed by cubic-root amplitude given by (21).

III. GAUSSIAN MIXTURE MODEL

Gaussian mixture model clustering is a measure of the probability distribution used to create clusters. Each cluster actually looks that it has a Gaussian distribution. Gaussian mixture models are one of the best known and most widely used methods to identify the speaker. Gaussian mixture models are based on the division of sounds into different classes and these classes are compared with the input speech. In this model, the segmentation of phonemes to classes is implicitly based on a division of unsupervised clustering, therefore the speaker will not use for classes (identify the exact phoneme). On the other hand, Gaussian mixture model tries to model the probability density function of the speaker. This modeling is performed with a linear combination of some Gaussian functions, which is the reason that it has called Gaussian mixture model [16].

Gaussian mixture model is similar to the single-state Hidden Markov Model (HMM) and is a probability density function of the state, with many normal mixtures. The probability of test vector \( x \) belongs to a Gaussian mixture model with \( M \) mixtures will be calculated in the form of (22).

\[ P(x|GMM) = \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma} c_t N(\mu_t, \Sigma_t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where \( c_t \) is weight of mixtures, and \( \mu_t \) and \( \Sigma_t \) are the normal distribution mean vector and covariance matrix respectively, Covariance matrix of GMM, usually considered diagonal, although there is the possibility of
using full matrix as well. Equation (22) can be also stated using normal probability density function as expressed in (23).

\[
P(\mathbf{x} | GMM) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_i \frac{1}{d} \frac{1}{(2n)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_i) \Sigma_i^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_i) \right\}
\]

where \( d \) is an input space dimension. To obtain GMM parameters, including Gaussian distributions mean, covariance and weight, EM algorithm is used. It should be noted that the number of Gaussian mixtures have a direct relationship with the existing training models and GMM models cannot be trained with an excessive number of the mixtures with poor data collection. In the formation and training of GMMs, like all other models, consideration of the complexity of the model and training samples is necessary [4].

IV. EXPECTED MAXIMIZATION ALGORITHM

The Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm as an example of the Baum - Welch algorithm, is used in the training of GMMs. In EM algorithm, a method of testing, it is possible to get the maximum or minimum, or it may be getting into the trap in the local maximum or minimum. EM method is a general method to find the parameters with estimating the Maximum Likelihood (ML). Certainly in each iteration, likelihood logarithm will increase. The EM algorithm guarantees convergence to a local maximum of likelihood function, in both phases of the expected value and likelihood.

The EM algorithm, with using hidden variables \( \lambda \) is formed where the maximum likelihood is achieved by using the training set \( X \) as shown in (24).

\[
p(X | \lambda) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} P(x_t | \lambda)
\]

To maximize the likelihood between the Gaussian distribution and the samples based on these relationships, model parameters changes frequently. EM algorithm consists of two steps:

1) The expected value: In expectation value, GMM parameters are obtained for each sample of \( d \) dimensional data \( x \in \{ X \}_{t=1, \ldots, T} \) using inductive probability and for \( i \)th component using (25).

\[
P(i | x_t, \lambda) = \frac{w_i g(x_t | \mu_i, \Sigma_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^{M} w_k g(x_t | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}
\]

where \( g(x_t | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \) is introduced according to (26).

\[
g(x_t | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x_t - \mu_k) \Sigma_k^{-1} (x_t - \mu_k) \right\}
\]

2) The maximization: At maximum, the parameters are calculated in accordance with inductive probability estimated in the previous step. GMM parameters updated as well according to (27) to (29).

\[
\hat{w}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} P(i | x_t, \lambda)
\]

\[
\hat{\mu}_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} P(i | x_t, \lambda) x_t}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} P(i | x_t, \lambda)}
\]

\[
\hat{\Sigma}_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} P(i | x_t, \lambda) x_t^2}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} P(i | x_t, \lambda)} - \hat{\mu}_i^2
\]

Algorithm steps are repeated until the boundary of the convergence is achieved. The EM algorithm, guarantee converging to a local maximum likelihood, in both expected and likelihood phases [16].

V. DATABASE, RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

A. TIMIT Speech Database

TIMIT database is an English connected speech prepared by company of TI and university of MIT and US which bureau of standards (NIST) has approved it. TIMIT database contains the 6300 speech, which were uttered by 630 speakers and 8 common North American accents. TIMIT database 70% male and 30% female speakers is included. Each speaker has uttered 10 sentences that the 2 sentences of them have been uttered by other speakers. Totally there are 2432 distinct sentences in TIMIT which includes two common sentences among all speakers, 450 common sentences among groups of seven people of speakers and 1890 sentences including a single speaker. All words and phonemes in TIMIT sentences have the time tags. However, despite the time tags for words and phonemes, it could be used separately to assess word recognition rate. To use this database for evaluation of speech recognition in noise, noise must be artificially added to the database [17].

B. Tests and Results

In this paper, 6300 utterances in TIMIT database is used which 5670 of them is utilized for the training system, and 630 utterances were used for the test. Eligibility criteria to be considered in models, like the likelihood ratio logarithm. Since the data are used consistently, therefore after initialization parameters of the models, EM algorithm is used for re-estimating of parameters. Finally, models of recognition will achieve. Then, the recognition models will adapt with each model. The calculation accuracy based on the relationship is like as (30).

\[
CIR \text{ (Correct Identification Rate)} = \frac{\text{No.of Successful Identification}}{\text{Total No.of Attempts}} \times 100
\]

In this paper, 12 coefficients of LPCC and 9 of the PLP coefficients were used. Another characteristic which are used is as below.

Pre-emphasized factor is 0.975, the length of a window is 25 milliseconds, and the step in the window or overlap of windows will occur every 10 milliseconds. The 26 filter banks are selected. In calculation of features, hamming window is used.

In implementation, uniformly, 32 GMM mixtures are used. Also 90% of the database, including 5670 sentences in the training phase and 10% database includes, 630 sentences will use for test phase. The average length of each sentence is 3 seconds, therefore 27 seconds of speech for training and 3 seconds for the test is used. In the training phase, for each speaker model, likelihood
score of input sequence from the input feature vectors is calculated with (31).

\[ L(X, G_s) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P(x_i | G_s) \]  

(31)

where \( L \) stands the likelihood, and it is in concept of derived vectors from the model \( G_s \), such that \( X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_M\} \) is speaker feature vectors sequence, and \( M \) is the total number of feature vectors. The highest score \( L(X, G_s) \) of the generated GMM is selected as most similar to the original speaker.

GMM provides a robust basic model to compute likelihoods between a test speaker and a given model. This method has proven its effectiveness on small populations, with few noise components and intersession variability. Computation of the likelihood ratio makes it interesting for speaker verification making the matching score range of different speakers comparable. LPC analysis is an effective method to estimate the main variability. Computation of the likelihood ratio makes it interesting for speaker verification making the matching score range of different speakers comparable. LPC analysis is an effective method to estimate the main variability. Computation of the likelihood ratio makes it interesting for speaker verification making the matching score range of different speakers comparable. LPC analysis is an effective method to estimate the main variability. Computation of the likelihood ratio makes it interesting for speaker verification making the matching score range of different speakers comparable.

The PLP speech analysis method is more adapted to human hearing, in comparison to the classic Linear Prediction Coding (LPC). The main difference between PLP and LPC analysis techniques is that the LP model assumes the all-pole transfer function of the vocal tract with a specified number of resonances within the analysis band. The LP all-pole model approximates power distribution equally well at all frequencies of the analysis band. This assumption is inconsistent with human hearing, because beyond 800 Hz, the spectral resolution of hearing decreases with frequency and hearing is also more sensitive in the middle frequency range of the audible spectrum.

![Figure 3. Speaker recognition rate using TIMIT database.](image)

All implementation such as feature extraction and combination of speech signals has been carried out in Matlab software. As is shown in Fig. 3, the proposed new method named PLPCC (Perceptual Linear Prediction Cepstral Coefficient) uses 21 coefficients for speaker recognition which it has speaker recognition rate of 98.4% versus 96.2% for LPCC and 95.1% for PLP. Results of recognition rate in noisy condition with 630 speakers are shown in Fig. 4. The results clearly demonstrate the improvements of new proposed method PLPCC over PLP and LPCC. When PLPCC features are used as complementary features, the efficiency of speaker recognition will improve. This will be shown that PLP features have the additional information, which is not in the Cepstral coefficients. Therefore it is logical to get better results with PLPCC features in comparison with PLP and LPCC methods.

![Figure 4. Speaker recognition rate in TIMIT database with white Gaussian noise.](image)

C. Conclusion

In this paper, the importance of feature extraction to improve the speaker recognition rate is mentioned. This paper tried to evaluate the effect of the combination of some features in automatic speaker recognition systems. Speaker recognition has encountered lots of advancements within the past few years. Emerging new technologies will improve robustness, more particularly in speaker identification. The new PLPCC proposed method based on GMM has speaker recognition rate of 98.4% using 21 coefficients, which is better results in comparison with 96.2% for LPCC and 95.1% for PLP.

Study of researchers will concentrate on the new techniques as well as improvement in the existing methods. Field of studies become larger, from physiological to behavioral with the use of high level features. The research in the field of speaker recognition contributes substantially to a better management in security for various uses, although the behavioral aspects are only emerging recently. Future researches are in the training GMMs using the median, which may provide improvement when the median is used in the evaluation stage.
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